

Erratum

The article by Jones and Galliher (2007) contained errors in coding participant gender. Tables 1 and 2 contain analyses based on incorrectly coded scores for several participants. Corrected analyses are presented in Tables 1 and 2 below:

TABLE 1
Correlations and Descriptive Statistics for Ethnic Identity Measures and Psychosocial Outcomes for Males and Females

Measure	OCIS AI	OCIS Maj.	MEIM—Belonging	MEIM—Exp.	RSES	CES-D	PSSM	GPA	CASAF	YSR	Drug Freq.	Drug Prob.	Mean (SD), Males
OCIS AI ^a	1	-0.36**	0.48*	0.34**	-0.06	0.06	0.02	-0.18	-0.06	-0.07	-0.18	0.01	3.24 (0.56)
OCIS Maj. ^a	-0.36*	1	-0.13	-0.12	0.33**	0.05	0.13	0.16	0.21	-0.08	-0.03	0.00	2.54 (0.82)
MEIM—belonging ^a	0.29*	-0.06	1	0.56**	0.37**	-0.30*	0.15	-0.36**	0.26*	-0.16	-0.17	-0.25*	3.24 (0.51)
MEIM—Exp. ^a	0.39**	-0.29*	0.40**	1	0.24	-0.18	0.36*	-0.16	0.24	-0.16	-0.14	-0.11	2.76 (0.45)
RSES ^a	0.02	0.26*	0.51**	0.12	1	-0.43*	0.45**	-0.30*	0.61**	-0.36**	-0.19	-0.13	3.07 (0.50)
CES-D ^a	-0.06	-0.14	-0.18	0.03	-0.19	1	-0.26*	0.18	-0.35**	0.52**	0.23	0.26*	1.87 (0.53)
PSSM ^b	0.06	0.18	0.37**	0.15	0.48**	-0.01	1	-0.17	0.62**	-0.29*	-0.11	0.03	3.40 (0.57)
GPA ^c	0.05	0.08	-0.04	-0.03	-0.02	-0.03	-0.13	1	-0.15	0.20	0.17	0.01	3.03 (0.83)
CASAFS ^d	0.08	0.03	0.43**	0.34**	0.48**	-0.22	0.50**	-0.17	1	-0.40**	-0.07	0.02	71.88(9.45)
YSR ^e	-0.07	-0.11	-0.15	0.08	-0.13	0.52**	0.00	0.12	-0.12	1	0.49**	0.35**	1.55 (0.38)
Drug use frequency ^f	-0.11	0.05	-0.17	-0.07	-0.17	0.10	-0.19	-0.02	-0.22	0.38**	1	0.40**	2.90 (1.29)
Drug use problems ^c	0.17	-0.04	-0.17	0.05	-0.05	0.08	0.00	-0.18	0.00	0.22	0.34*	1	0.59 (1.12)
Mean (SD), females	3.13 (0.76)	2.54 (0.82)	3.24 (0.51)	2.76 (0.45)	3.07 (0.50)	1.87 (0.53)	3.40 (0.57)	3.05 (0.81)	71.88 (9.45)	1.55 (0.38)	2.86 (1.44)	0.59 (1.12)	

Note. Males ($n = 67$) on top of diagonal; females ($n = 70$) on bottom of diagonal.

^aPossible range = 1-4.

^bPossible range = 1-5.

^cPossible range = 0-4.

^dPossible range = 24-96.

^ePossible range = 1-3.

^fPossible range = 2-10.

* $p < .05$.

** $p < .01$.

TABLE 2
Identity Status and Gender Differences in Psychosocial Outcomes: Summary of Significant Univariate Analyses

<i>Measure</i>	<i>Outcome</i>	<i>Effect</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Partial</i> η^2	<i>Pairwise Comparisons</i> (<i>Mean Difference</i>)	<i>p</i>				
MEIM	RSES (self-esteem)	MEIM status	5.01	3, 114	.003	.117	Achieved > diffused (0.41)	.003				
							Achieved > moratorium (0.39)	.044				
	CASAFS (social funct.)	MEIM status	6.97	3, 114	.001	.155	Achieved > diffused (8.86)	.001				
							Achieved > moratorium (7.51)	.021				
							Achieved > foreclosed (6.46)	.019				
PSSMS (school)	MEIM status	5.82	3, 114	.001	.133	Achieved > diffused (0.44)	.005					
						Achieved > foreclosed (0.45)	.011					
OCIS	RSES (self-esteem)	OCIS status	3.93	3, 116	.001	.162	Acculturated > marginal (0.40)	.024				
								7.46			Bicultural > marginal (0.55)	.002
											Bicultural > traditional (0.41)	.010

REFERENCE

- Jones, M. D., & Galliher, R. V. (2007). Ethnic identity and psychosocial functioning in Navajo adolescents. *Journal of Research on Adolescence, 17*, 686–696.

This document is a scanned copy of a printed document. No warranty is given about the accuracy of the copy. Users should refer to the original published version of the material.